







# FEM in Heat Transfer Part 2

Marcela B. Goldschmit



## CONTENTS

#### Part 1

- Introduction to heat transfer
- Heat transfer equations
- Non-dimensional numbers
- The finite element method in heat transfer
- Boundary conditions: natural convection, forced convection
- Boundary conditions: radiation, boiling
- Examples on conduction-convection heat transfer problems

#### Part 2

- Boundary conditions: review
- Boundary conditions: condensation
- ► Time integration
- Non-linear equations: Picard method, Newton-Raphson method, BFGS
- Examples on transitory thermal problems



### CONTENTS

#### Part 3

- Non-linear heat transfer
- ► Non-linear heat transfer: thermal conductivity, forces term, volumetric term
- Non-linear heat transfer: radiation BC
- Non-linear heat transfer: phase change
- Modeling of heat transfer: welding
- Examples on non-linear heat transfer problems

#### Part 4

- Inverse thermal problems
- Examples on phase change problems



- Dirichlet boundary conditions  $T = T^{\sup}$   $\forall (\underline{x}, t) \in \partial \Gamma_T \times \partial t$
- Newmann boundary conditions  $q_n^* = -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = Q_s(T)$   $\forall (\underline{x}, t) = \partial \Gamma_q \times \partial t$ 
  - where  $\underline{\mathbf{n}}$  is the normal vector output to the domain surface  $Q_s$  is positive when the heat output  $\Omega$ .
- Mixt boundary conditions. Newton cooling law

$$q_n^* \;=\; -\; k\; \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \;=\; h\left(T\right)\; \left(\;T\; -\; T_{amb}\;\right) \qquad \forall (\underline{x},t) \in \partial \Gamma_c \times \partial t$$



Radiation boundary conditions

$$q_n^* \;=\; -\; k\; \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \;=\; \sigma\; F\; \epsilon\; (\; T^4 \;-\; T^4_{medio}\;) \qquad \forall (\underline{x},t) \in \partial \Gamma_r \; \times \; \partial t$$

where  $\sigma$  is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant = 5.6697 × 10<sup>-8</sup>  $\frac{W}{m^2 \cdot K^4}$ ;  $\epsilon$  is the emisitivy (nondimensional), F is the shape factor or vision factor (nondimensional)

Robin boundary conditions

$$q_n^* \;=\; -\; k\; \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \;=\; \hat{h}\;(T)\; \left(\; T - T_{a\,mb}\;\right) \qquad \forall (\underline{x},t) \in \partial \Gamma_{\sigma} \times \partial t$$

$$\hat{h} = \hat{h}_{conv} + \hat{h}_{rad}$$
  
 $\hat{h}_{conv} = experimental, literature, etc.$   
 $T^4 = T^4$ 

$$\hat{h}_{rad} = \sigma F \epsilon \frac{T^4 - T^4_{medio}}{T - T_{amb}}$$



Natural and Forced Convection

$$Nu = \left\{ A_1 + \frac{A_2 Ra^{n_1}}{\left[ 1 + (A_3 / \Pr)^{n_2} \right]^{n_3}} \right\}^{n_4}$$

$$Nu = \frac{hL}{k_f} \qquad \Pr = \frac{\mu/\rho}{k/\rho Cp} = \frac{\mu Cp}{k} \qquad Ra = Gr \Pr$$
$$Gr = \frac{\rho \beta (T_w - T_w)L^3}{(\mu/\rho)^2}$$



#### Boiling





### Boundary conditions: condensation

$$\overline{\mathrm{Nu}}_{D} = 0.64 \left\{ \frac{\rho_{f} u_{\infty} D}{\mu_{f}} \left[ 1 + \left( 1 + 1.69 \frac{g h'_{fg} \mu_{f} D}{u_{\infty}^{2} k_{f} (T_{\mathrm{sat}} - T_{w})} \right)^{1/2} \right] \right\}^{1/2}$$



b. Typical photograph of dropwise condensation provided by Professor Borivoje B. Mikić. Notice the dry paths on the left and in the wake of the middle droplet.



$$\rho Cp \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) = Q \qquad x \in \Omega$$

$$T = T_{imp} \qquad x \in T_{T}$$

$$q_{n} = q_{n_{imp}} \qquad x \in T_{T}$$

$$T(x,0) = T_{0} \quad initial \quad condition$$

$$\underbrace{\tilde{T}(x,t) = \underline{H}(x) \cdot \hat{T}(t) \qquad \underline{x} = \underline{H} \cdot \hat{x}}_{\int_{\Omega}} \underbrace{\underline{H}}_{T} \rho Cp \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial t} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \underline{H}_{T} \nabla \cdot (k \nabla \tilde{T}) d\Omega = Part \quad integration$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \underline{H}_{T} Q \ d\Omega + \int_{T_{q}} \underline{H}_{T} (q_{n} - q_{n_{imp}}) dT$$



$$\underline{\underline{M}} \cdot \underline{\hat{T}} + \underline{\underline{K}} \cdot \underline{\hat{T}} = \underline{F}$$

$$M_{ij}^{G} = \sum_{e} \int_{\Omega^{e}} h_{i} \rho C_{p} h_{j} d\Omega$$

$$K_{ij}^{G} = \sum_{e} \int_{\Omega^{e}} B_{im} k_{mp} B_{pj} d\Omega$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \widetilde{T}}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{T}}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{T}}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial x} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial y} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial z} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \underline{\widehat{T}} = \underline{B} \cdot \underline{\widehat{T}}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 k = \begin{bmatrix} k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k \end{bmatrix} \\
 F_i^G = \sum_{e \ \Omega} \int_{e \ \Omega} h_i Q d\Omega - \sum_{e \ T_q} h_i q_{n_{imp}}
\end{array}$$

\_



Time integration with convection therms

$$\rho C_{p} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \rho C_{p} \underline{v} \cdot \underline{\nabla} T - \underline{\nabla} \cdot \left(\underline{k} \cdot \underline{\nabla} T\right) = Q$$

$$\underbrace{\underline{M} \cdot \hat{\underline{T}}}_{t} + \left(\underline{N} + \underline{K}\right) \cdot \hat{\underline{T}} = \underline{F}$$

$$\underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}} = \sum_{e=1}^{NE} \left( \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}^{G^{(e)}} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}^{P^{(e)}} \right) \quad ; \quad \underline{\underline{\mathbf{N}}} = \sum_{e=1}^{NE} \left( \underline{\underline{\mathbf{N}}}^{G^{(e)}} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{N}}}^{P^{(e)}} \right)$$
$$\underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}} = \sum_{e=1}^{NE} \left( \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}^{G^{(e)}} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{K}}}^{P^{(e)}} \right) \quad ; \quad \underline{\mathbf{F}} = \sum_{e=1}^{NE} \left( \underline{\mathbf{E}}^{G^{(e)}} + \underline{\mathbf{F}}^{P^{(e)}} \right)$$



Time integration with convection therms

$$M_{ij}^{G^{(e)}} = \int_{\Omega^{e}} h_{i} \rho C_{p} h_{j} d\Omega$$

$$K_{ij}^{G^{e}} = \int_{\Omega^{e}} B_{im} k_{mp} B_{pj} d\Omega \quad ; \qquad N_{ij}^{G^{e}} = \int_{\Omega^{e}} h_{i} v_{p} B_{pj} d\Omega$$

$$F_{i}^{G^{e}} = \int_{\Omega^{e}} h_{i} q_{v} d\Omega - \int_{T_{q^{e}}} h_{i} q_{n_{imp}}$$



Time integration with convection therms

$$\begin{split} M_{ij}^{P^{(e)}} &= \int_{\Omega^{e}} W_{i} \ \rho C_{p} h_{j} \ d\Omega \\ K_{ij}^{P^{(e)}} &= - \int_{\Omega^{e}} W_{i} \ \underline{\nabla} \cdot \ \left(\underline{\mathbf{k}} \ \cdot \ \underline{\nabla} h_{j}\right) \ d\Omega \\ N_{ij}^{P^{(e)}} &= \int_{\Omega^{e}} W_{i} \ \rho C_{p} \underline{\mathbf{v}} \ \cdot \ \underline{\nabla} h_{j} \ d\Omega \\ F_{i}^{P^{(e)}} &= \int_{\Omega^{e}} W_{i} \ q_{v} \ d\Omega \end{split}$$



Alpha Method

$$\underline{\underline{M}} \cdot \underline{\hat{T}} + (\underline{\underline{N}} + \underline{\underline{K}}) \cdot \underline{\hat{T}} = \underline{F}$$

The objective is to obtain an approximation for  ${}^{t+\Delta t}T$  given the value of  ${}^tT$   ${}^tF$  and  ${}^{t+\Delta t}F$ 

Alpha Method seeks to satisfy the differential equation in

 $t + \alpha \Delta t$ ;  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ 



$$\underline{\underline{M}} \cdot \underline{\hat{T}} + \underline{(\underline{N} + \underline{\underline{K}})} \cdot \underline{\hat{T}} = \underline{F}$$

$${}^{t+\alpha\Delta t}\frac{\hat{T}}{\hat{T}}=\frac{{}^{t+\Delta t}\hat{T}-{}^{t}\hat{T}}{\Delta t}$$

$${}^{t+\alpha\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}} = {}^{t}\underline{\hat{T}} + \frac{{}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}} - {}^{t}\underline{\hat{T}}}{\Delta t}\alpha \ \Delta t + \mathcal{G}(\Delta t^{2}) = (1-\alpha){}^{t}\underline{\hat{T}} + \alpha {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}}$$

$$^{t+\alpha\Delta t}\underline{F} = (1-\alpha)^{t}\underline{F} + \alpha^{t+\Delta t}\underline{F}$$



$$\left[\underline{\mathbf{M}} + \boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\Delta t \,\left(\underline{\mathbf{N}} + \underline{\mathbf{K}}\right)\right] \cdot {}^{t+\Delta t} \widehat{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \,\Delta t {}^{t+\Delta t} \underline{\mathbf{F}} + (1 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \,\Delta t {}^{t} \underline{\mathbf{F}} \\ - (1 - \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \,\Delta t \,\left(\underline{\mathbf{N}} + \underline{\mathbf{K}}\right) \cdot {}^{t} \widehat{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} + \underline{\mathbf{M}} \cdot {}^{t} \widehat{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}$$

$$\alpha = 1$$
 Implicit Euler backward Method, unconditionally stable  $\mathcal{G}(\Delta t)$ 

$$lpha = 0$$
 Explicit Euler forward Method, conditionally stable

$$\vartheta(\Delta t)$$

 $\mathscr{G}(\Delta t^2)$ 

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$$
 Implicit trapezoidal rule, unconditionally stable  
Cranck Nicolson method







Approximation error





Penetration depth measures the distance or thickness of thermal energy propagating into the surface through conduction.



 $T = \theta$  From Bathe, Finite Element Procedures



## Non-linear equations

Steady State 
$$\underline{F}(\underline{\hat{T}}) = \underline{R}$$
  $\underline{K}(T) \cdot \underline{\hat{T}} = \underline{R}$ 

$$\underbrace{F}\left( \underbrace{t+\Delta t} \underline{\hat{T}}, \underbrace{\hat{T}} \right) = \underbrace{t+\Delta t} \underline{R}\left( \underbrace{\hat{T}} \right)$$

$$\underbrace{K}\left( \underbrace{t+\Delta t} T, \underbrace{T} \right) \cdot \underbrace{t+\Delta t} \underline{\hat{T}} = \underbrace{t+\Delta t} \underline{R}\left( \underbrace{T} \right)$$



### Non-linear equations: Picard Method

It is called successive substitutions method.

Starting with an initial guess

Evaluate  

$$k = k + 1$$

$$\underline{K} \left( \int_{t+\Delta t}^{t+\Delta t} \hat{\underline{T}}^{(k-1)}, {}^{t}T \right) \cdot \int_{t+\Delta t}^{t+\Delta t} \hat{\underline{T}}^{(k)} = \int_{t+\Delta t}^{t+\Delta t} \underline{R} \left( f^{t}T \right)$$

Until the result no longer changes to within a specified tolerance



### Non-linear equations: Picard Method

Picard's method is the easiest method to program and usually has large areas of convergence .

Converges linearly and for many problems its convergence rate is very smooth

The most important application of Picard's method is to use it as the first iterations of the Newton-Raphson method .



Historical Note.

Newton's work was done in 1669 but published much later. Numerical methods related to the Newton Method were used by al-Kash, Viete, Briggs, and Oughtred, all many years before Newton.

Raphson, some 20 years after Newton, got close to Newton Equation, but only for *polynomials* of degree 3, 4, 5, ..., 10.

Raphson, like Newton, seems unaware of the connection between his method and the derivative. The connection was made about 50 years later (Simpson, Euler), and the Newton Method finally moved beyond polynomial equations. The familiar geometric interpretation of the Newton Method may have been first used by Mourraille (1768). Analysis of the convergence of the Newton Method had to wait until Fourier and Cauchy in the 1820s.



Steady state problem
$$\underline{R} - \underline{F}(\hat{T}) = \underline{0}$$
; $\underline{F}(\hat{T}) = \underline{K}(\hat{T}) \cdot \hat{T}$ Linearized $\underline{F} = \underline{F}^{(k-1)} + \frac{\partial \underline{F}}{\partial \hat{T}_j} \Big|^{(k-1)} \Delta \hat{T}_j^{(k)}$ ; $_{j=1,NEQ}$  $\Delta \hat{T}_j^{(k)} = \hat{T}_j^{(k)} - \hat{T}_j^{(k-1)}$  $K_{T_{ij}} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \hat{T}_j}$ 

www.simytec.com

Call tangent matrix



$$\underline{R} - \underline{F}^{(k-1)} - \underline{\underline{K}}_{T}^{(k-1)} \cdot \Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} = \underline{0}$$

$$\underline{\underline{K}}_{T}^{(k-1)} \cdot \Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} = \underline{R} - \underline{F}^{(k-1)}$$
$$\Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} = \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} - \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k-1)}$$

Start conditions

$$\underline{\hat{T}}^{(0)} = \underline{\hat{T}}_{data}$$



Transient state problem

$${}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{R} - {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{F} = \underline{0} \qquad ; \qquad {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{F} = {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{K} \left( {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}} \right) \cdot {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Linearized} & \overset{t+\Delta t}{\underline{F}} = \overset{t+\Delta t}{\underline{F}} \frac{\underline{F}^{(k-1)}}{\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial} \overset{t+\Delta t}{\underline{f}_{j}} \right|^{(k-1)}} \overset{t+\Delta t}{\Delta} \hat{T}_{j}^{(k)} & ; \quad _{j=1,NEQ} \\ & \overset{t+\Delta t}{\Delta} \hat{T}_{j}^{(k)} = \overset{t+\Delta t}{T} \hat{T}_{j}^{(k)} - \overset{t+\Delta t}{T} \hat{T}_{j}^{(k-1)} \\ & \text{Call tangent matrix} & \overset{t+\Delta t}{K}_{T_{ij}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial} \overset{t+\Delta t}{T} \hat{T}_{j} \\ \end{array}$$



$${}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{R} - {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{F}^{(k-1)} - {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{K}_{T}^{(k-1)} \cdot {}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} = \underline{0}$$

$${}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\underline{K}}_{T}^{(k-1)} \cdot {}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} = {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{R} - {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{F}^{(k-1)}$$
$${}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} = {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} - {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}}^{(k-1)}$$

Start conditions

$${}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}}^{(0)} = {}^{t}\underline{\hat{T}} \quad ; \quad {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\underline{K}}_{T}^{(0)} = {}^{t}\underline{\underline{K}}_{T} \quad ; \quad {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\underline{F}}^{(0)} = {}^{t}\underline{\underline{F}}$$



For one degree of freedom

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_{(x)} &=& 0 \\ f_{(x^{(k-1)})} \;+\; f_{(x^{(k-1)})}' \; (x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}) &=& 0 \\ & x^{(k)} &=& x^{(k-1)} \;-\; \frac{f_{(x^{(k-1)})}}{f_{(x^{(k-1)})}'} \end{array}$$





Example: We use the Newton-Raphson Method to find a non-zero solution of

 $x = 2 \sin x$ 

- (a) Start  $x^{(0)} = 1.1$
- (b) Start  $x^{(0)} = 1.5$



If the initial estimate is not close enough to the root, the Newton-Raphson Method may not converge, or may converge to the wrong root.

The successive estimates of the Newton-Raphson Method may converge to the root too slowly, or may not converge at all.











Convergence

Quadratic convergence when converges



Convergence

#### (1) First property

- If the tangent matrix  $\underbrace{K_T}^{(k-1)}$  is nonsingular
- If  $t+\Delta t} \underline{F}^{(k-1)}$  and its first derivatives with respect to continuous in a neighborhood of the solution  $t+\Delta t} \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k-1)}$

• If 
$$\underline{\hat{T}}^{(k-1)}$$
 will be closer to  $\underline{\hat{T}}^{*}$ 

than  ${}^{t+\Delta t}\hat{\underline{T}}^{(k)}$  and the sequence of iterative solutions converges to  ${}^{t+\Delta t}\hat{\underline{T}}^{*}$ 



Convergence

- (2) Second property Lipschitz continuity
- If the tangent matrix satisfies  $\left\| \stackrel{t+\Delta t}{\underline{K}}_{T} \stackrel{(k)}{-} \stackrel{t+\Delta t}{\underline{K}}_{T} \stackrel{(k-1)}{\underline{K}} \right\| \leq L \left\| \stackrel{t+\Delta t}{\underline{T}} \stackrel{(k)}{\underline{T}} \stackrel{t+\Delta t}{\underline{T}} \stackrel{(k-1)}{\underline{T}} \right\|$

for all  $\overset{t+\Delta t}{\underline{T}} (\hat{\underline{T}}^{(k)})$  and  $\overset{t+\Delta t}{\underline{T}} (\hat{\underline{T}}^{(k-1)})$  in the neighborhood of  $\overset{t+\Delta t}{\underline{T}} (\hat{\underline{T}}^{*})$ and L>0

then convergence is quadratic.

This means that if the error after iteration (k) is the order e, then the error after iteration (k+1) will be of the order  $e^2$ 



### Modified Newton-Raphson Method

N-R iteration is recognized as an expensive computational cost per iteration due to the calculation and factorization of the tangent matrix. Then, the use of a modification of the full N-R algorithm can be effective.

Maintains the f'(x) tangent matrix  $\underbrace{K}_{T}^{(k-1)}$  constant during the iterations or it is modified each n iterations

Advantage: saving computational effort

Disadvantage: loss of quadratic convergence

The choice of time step depend on the degree of non-linearities



As an alternative to forms of N-R iteration, a class of methods known as matrix update methods or quasi-Newton methods has been developed .

These methods involve updating the coefficient matrix to provide a secant approximation to the matrix from iteration (k-1) to (k).

BFGS: Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb and Shanno method







$$\begin{pmatrix} t + \Delta t \underline{\mathbf{K}}_{T}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{(k)} = \underline{\mathbf{A}}^{(k)^{T}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} t + \Delta t \underline{\mathbf{K}}_{T}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{(k-1)} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{A}}^{(k)}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{A}}^{(k)} = \underline{\mathbf{I}} + \underline{\mathbf{v}}^{(k)} \underline{\mathbf{w}}^{(k)T}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}^{(k)} = -c^{(k)-t+\Delta t} \underline{\mathbf{K}}^{(k-1)} \cdot t + \Delta t \Delta \underline{\mathbf{L}}^{(k)} - t + \Delta t \Delta \underline{\mathbf{F}}^{(k)}$$

$$c^{(k)} = \left[ \frac{t + \Delta t}{t + \Delta t} \underline{\Delta \mathbf{\Gamma}}^{(k)T} \cdot t + \Delta t} \underline{\mathbf{\Delta \mathbf{F}}}^{(k)}_{T} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{w}}^{(k)} = \frac{t + \Delta t}{t + \Delta t} \underline{\Delta} \underline{\mathbf{\Gamma}}^{(k)T} \cdot t + \Delta t} \underline{\Delta} \underline{\mathbf{F}}^{(k)}$$



$${}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} = {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} - {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}}^{(k-1)}$$
$${}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \underline{F}^{(k)} = {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{F}^{(k)} - {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{F}^{(k-1)}$$

Since the product 
$$\begin{pmatrix} t+\Delta t \underline{\mathbf{K}}_T^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{(k)} = \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}^{(k)T} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} t+\Delta t \underline{\mathbf{K}}_T^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{(k-1)} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}^{(k)T}$$

is positive definite and symmetric, to avoid numerically problems, the condition number is calculated.

The update is performed if:  $c^{(k)} < n \quad (as example \ n = 10^5)$ 



### BFGS with linear searches

$${}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} = {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)} - \beta {}^{t+\Delta t}\underline{\hat{T}}^{(k-1)}$$

b is a scalar multiplier

It is varied until the component of the out-of-balance loads in the direction  $t^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \hat{T}^{(k)}$  is small.

$${}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta\underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)T}\left({}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta\underline{R}-{}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta\underline{F}^{(k)}\right) \leq TOL {}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta\underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)T}\left({}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta\underline{R}-{}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta\underline{F}^{(k-1)}\right)$$

Linear searches are made with simple algorithms such as bisection

Linear searches are computationally expensive because they must calculate multiple times in each iteration  $\underline{F}^{(k)}$ 



### BFGS with linear searches





## Convergence criteria

1) Convergence in temperatures

$$\frac{\left\|{}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)}\right\| < DTOL}{\left\|{}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k)}\right\|}$$
$$\frac{\left\|{}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k-1)}\right\|}{\left\|{}^{t+\Delta t}\Delta \underline{\hat{T}}^{(k-1)}\right\|} < ETOL$$

$$\|\underline{a}\|_{2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a^{i})^{2}} \quad ; \quad \|\underline{a}\|_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a^{i}| \quad ; \quad \|\underline{a}\|_{\infty} = \max|a^{i}|$$



#### Examples on transitory heat transfer problems

- Exercise 1: Obtain the FEA formulation for the Linear Transitory heat transfer problem considering convection. Analyze the stability of the different time integration
- Exercise 2: Consider the transitory heat transfer problem in a 1D beam discretized with 10 regular elements. Solve the finite element model with time integration for different alpha values (0; 0.5 and 1) for the following
  - Cases: a)  $\tau_{final} = 0.05$   $\delta \tau = 0.05$ b)  $\tau_{final} = 0.5$   $\delta \tau = 0.05$ c)  $\tau_{final} = 0.00005$   $\delta \tau = 0.00005$ d)  $\tau_{final} = 0.5$   $\delta \tau = 0.00005$

 $T_{(x,0)} = 0$ 

Heat transfer equation

 $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \eta \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \qquad 0 \le x \le L \quad \land \quad t > 0$  $T_{(L,t)} = T_L \quad \land \quad \eta \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\Big|_{(0,t)} = 0 \qquad t \ge 0$ 

Border Condition

Initial Condition

 $y = \frac{x}{L}$ ;  $\theta = 1 - \frac{T}{T_L}$ ;  $\tau = \frac{t\eta}{L^2}$ 

 $0 \leq x \leq L$ 

Use this non-dimensional numbers for the analysis:



8

С

#### Examples on transitory heat transfer problems

Exercise 3: Consider a 90° semi-infinite cylinder. Sides AB and BC are subjected to prescribed temperature of 50°. The initial temperature profile is 0°. The heat capacity of the material is constant. Perform a transient analysis to calculate the temperature distribution within the semi-infinite domain at different values of time. Use the Euler Backward, Cranck Nicholson and Euler Forward Method.

> k = 35.0c = 100.0

Region discretized The domain is discretized using a  $10 \times 10$  mesh of 4-node 2-D conduction elements.  $\theta_0 = 0$  $\theta_s = 50$ The conduction matrix is evaluated using a 0.75 y consistent heat capacity matrix. The time step is  $\Delta t = 0.016$ . Х  $\theta_s = 50$ 0.75

Figure T.8

▲ ∞