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Linear and nonlinear problems

Material nonlinearities

- Plasticity
- Viscoplasticity, creep
- Fracturing materials

Geometrical nonlinearities

- Contact problems
- Equilibrium in deformed configuration
- Finite strains
Linear and nonlinear problems

Geometrical nonlinearities: Equilibrium in deformed configuration
No linealidad Geométrica

MATERIAL ELASTIC NAME = 1,
E = 21000 NU = 0.3

EGROUP TRUSS NAME = 1,
DISPLACEMENTS = LARGE,
MATERIAL = 1,
INT = 2,
area = 10.
Linear and nonlinear problems

Material + Geometrical nonlinearities + Contact: Collapse

Buckle arrestors
Linear and nonlinear problems

Material + Geometrical nonlinearities + Contact: Collapse

Contact forces
Linear and nonlinear problems

Material + Geometrical nonlinearities + Contact

Contact Pressure [Mpa]
Linear and nonlinear problems

Material + Geometrical nonlinearities + Contact

OCTG connections

Structural Analysis

Detail of the seal area
Linear and nonlinear problems

Material + Geometrical nonlinearities + Contact
Finite elasto - plastic strains

OCTG connections: Failure analysis

Make Up

disp = 4.10 mm

disp = 5.77 mm

disp = 10.60 mm

disp = 16.60 mm

disp = 20.06 mm

disp = 31.91 mm

disp = 46.72 mm

disp = 52.65 mm

Equivalent Plastic Strain

10.5%
6.72%
4.29%
2.73%
1.74%
1.11%
0.71%
0.45%
Cartesian Coordinate System

- $x (z_1)$
- $y (z_2)$
- $z (z_3)$

$k$: point or material particle

Continuous body
Kinematics of the Continuous Media

Lagrangian description

\[ t \mathbf{u} = t \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{z}, t) \]

Eulerian description

\[ t \mathbf{u} = t \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{z}, t) \]
Pop Quiz # 2
Eulerian formulation

Is “a” the acceleration?

NO

\[ t_a = \frac{\partial t \omega(t_z, t)}{\partial t} + t \nu_i \left( \frac{\partial \nu_i}{\partial t z_j} \right) e_j \]
Kinematics of the Continuous Media

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
u(x, y, z) \\
v(x, y, z) \\
w(x, y, z)
\end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow 
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_{xx} & 2\varepsilon_{xy} & 2\varepsilon_{xz} \\
2\varepsilon_{yx} & \varepsilon_{yy} & 2\varepsilon_{yz} \\
2\varepsilon_{zx} & 2\varepsilon_{zy} & \varepsilon_{zz}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_{xx} & 2\varepsilon_{xy} & 2\varepsilon_{xz} \\
2\varepsilon_{yx} & \varepsilon_{yy} & 2\varepsilon_{yz} \\
2\varepsilon_{zx} & 2\varepsilon_{zy} & \varepsilon_{zz}
\end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow 
\begin{bmatrix}
u(x, y, z) \\
v(x, y, z) \\
w(x, y, z)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Kinematics of the Continuous Media

The second transformation is only possible if the **compatibility equations** are fulfilled

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{xx}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{yy}}{\partial x^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{yy}}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{zz}}{\partial y^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{yz}}{\partial y \partial z} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{zz}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{xx}}{\partial z^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{zx}}{\partial z \partial x} = 0
\]

\[- \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{xx}}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( - \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{yz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{zx}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{xy}}{\partial z} \right) = 0\]

\[- \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{yy}}{\partial z \partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{yz}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{zx}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{xy}}{\partial z} \right) = 0\]

\[- \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{zz}}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{yz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{zx}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{xy}}{\partial z} \right) = 0\]
The Cauchy Stress Tensor

(a) Particle P inside the body $\mathcal{B}$

(b) Section of the body $\mathcal{B}$ with a surface through P

$\Omega_L \cap \Omega_R = 0$

$\Omega_L \cup \Omega_R = \Omega$

$S_C$
The Cauchy Stress Tensor

Considering on the surface $\mathbf{t}S_c$ an area $\mathbf{t}\Delta S$ around $P$, the set of external forces acting on $\mathbf{t}\Delta S$ can be reduced to a force $\mathbf{t}\Delta F$ through $P$ and a moment $\mathbf{t}\Delta M_P$.

When $\mathbf{t}\Delta S \to 0$:

$$
\lim_{\mathbf{t}\Delta S \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{t}\Delta F}{\mathbf{t}\Delta S} = \mathbf{t}t \\
\lim_{\mathbf{t}\Delta S \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{t}\Delta M_P}{\mathbf{t}\Delta S} = 0
$$

(3.6a) (3.6b)

The vector $\mathbf{t}t$ is known in the literature as traction. Equations (3.6a-3.6b) incorporate two fundamental hypotheses:

- The limit in Eq. (3.6a) exists. Therefore we exclude from the continuum mechanics field the consideration of concentrated forces (concentrated forces are also not physically possible).
- The condition in Eq. (3.6b) is a strong requirement in the classical formulation of continuum mechanics. There are alternative formulations that do not require the fulfillment of Eq. (3.6b) (e.g. the theory of polar media (Truesdell & Noll 1965, Malvern 1969)).
The Cauchy Stress Tensor

Definition:

\[
    t \tau_i = t n_j t \sigma_{ji} \quad (i=1,2,3)
\]

(Above we use the summation convention)
Pop Quiz # 3

Water tank

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\
\sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\
\sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
A
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
-\rho g H & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\rho g H & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\rho g H
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The Cauchy Stress Tensor

\[
\begin{align*}
(t \sigma_{22} + \frac{\partial^t \sigma_{22}}{\partial t z_2} \, d^t z_2) \, d^t z_1 & \quad 1 \\
(t \sigma_{21} + \frac{\partial^t \sigma_{21}}{\partial t z_2} \, d^t z_2) \, d^t z_1 & \quad 1 \\
(t \sigma_{12} + \frac{\partial^t \sigma_{12}}{\partial t z_1} \, d^t z_1) \, d^t z_2 & \quad 1 \\
(t \sigma_{11} + \frac{\partial^t \sigma_{11}}{\partial t z_1} \, d^t z_1) \, d^t z_2 & \quad 1 \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[t \mathbf{b} : \text{ load per unit mass}\]
The Cauchy Stress Tensor

Equilibrium in the deformed configuration

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\left( t\sigma_{22} + \frac{\partial t\sigma_{22}}{\partial t z_2} \, d t z_2 \right) \, d t z_1 \, 1 \\
&\left( t\sigma_{21} + \frac{\partial t\sigma_{21}}{\partial t z_1} \, d t z_1 \right) \, d t z_2 \, 1 \\
&\left( t\sigma_{12} + \frac{\partial t\sigma_{12}}{\partial t z_1} \, d t z_1 \right) \, d t z_2 \, 1 \\
&\left( t\sigma_{11} + \frac{\partial t\sigma_{11}}{\partial t z_1} \, d t z_1 \right) \, d t z_2 \, 1 \\
\end{aligned}
\]

\( t b \) : load per unit mass
The Cauchy Stress Tensor

Equilibrium in the deformed configuration

\[
\frac{\partial t \sigma_{11}}{\partial t z_1} + \frac{\partial t \sigma_{21}}{\partial t z_2} + \frac{\partial t \sigma_{31}}{\partial t z_3} + t \rho t b_1 = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial t \sigma_{12}}{\partial t z_1} + \frac{\partial t \sigma_{22}}{\partial t z_2} + \frac{\partial t \sigma_{32}}{\partial t z_3} + t \rho t b_2 = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial t \sigma_{13}}{\partial t z_1} + \frac{\partial t \sigma_{23}}{\partial t z_2} + \frac{\partial t \sigma_{33}}{\partial t z_3} + t \rho t b_3 = 0
\]

\(b\) is the force per unit mass

In dynamic analyses include in \(f\) the inertia forces.
The Cauchy Stress Tensor

Torques equilibrium

\[ t \sigma_{ji} = t \sigma_{ji} \]

(symmetry)
The Cauchy Stress Tensor and Physic

In nonlinear problems there are a number of stress measures that are used during calculations:

- Kirchhoff stress tensor
- Second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor
- Biot stress tensor
- etc.

They are only mathematical tools.

The final results with significance for us should be expressed in terms of the Cauchy stress tensor.
Constitutive Relations

\[ [P, \Delta] ^{\text{calculations}} \rightarrow [\sigma, \varepsilon] \]

Phenomenological constitutive relations
Constitutive Relations

Elastic material
Constitutive Relations

HOOKE's LAW
Linear - elastic and isotropic materials

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\tau \sigma_{11} \\
\tau \sigma_{22} \\
\tau \sigma_{33} \\
\tau \sigma_{12} \\
\tau \sigma_{23} \\
\tau \sigma_{31}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{E}{1 + \nu}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 - \nu & \nu & \nu \\
1 - 2\nu & 1 - \nu & 1 - 2\nu \\
\nu & 1 - 2\nu & 1 - \nu \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\tau \varepsilon_{11} \\
\tau \varepsilon_{22} \\
\tau \varepsilon_{33} \\
\tau \varepsilon_{12} \\
\tau \varepsilon_{23} \\
\tau \varepsilon_{31}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\(E\) : Young's modulus

\(E > 0\)

\(\nu\) : Poisson's coefficient

\(0 \leq \nu \leq 0.5\)

\(E = E(T)\)

\(\nu = \nu(T)\)
Constitutive Relations

Elasto-plastic materials

Ingredients:

- **Yield surface**: in the 3D stress space describes the locus of the points where the plastic behavior is initiated.

- **Flow rule**: describes the evolution of the plastic deformations.

- **Hardening law**: describes the evolution of the yield surface during the plastic deformation process.
Constitutive Relations

Elasto-plastic materials

In his experimental work, developed in the 1950s, Bridgman found that for metals, it can be assumed that the yield function is not affected by the confining hydrostatic pressure - at least for not very extreme hydrostatic pressures

\[ t_s_{ij} \text{: deviatoric stress tensor} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
  t\sigma_{ij} & = t_s_{ij} + t\rho \delta_{ij} \\
  t\rho & = \frac{1}{3} (t\sigma_{ij} \delta_{ij}) \\
  t_s_{ij} \delta_{ij} & = 0
\end{align*}
\]
Constitutive Relations

Elasto-plastic materials
Von Mises yield function (metals)

\[ t f = \frac{1}{2} (t s_{ij} - t \alpha_{ij}) (t s_{ij} - t \alpha_{ij}) - \frac{(t \sigma_y)^2}{3} \]

- \( t f < 0 \) (elasticity)
- \( t f = 0 \) (plastic loading)
- \( t \sigma_y \) : yield stress at time "t"
- \( t \alpha_{ij} \) : back-stresses (kinematic hardening)
Constitutive Relations

Elasto-plastic materials
Von Mises yield function (metals)

\[
(t\sigma - t\alpha)_{\text{III}} = (t\sigma - t\alpha)_{\text{II}} = (t\sigma - t\alpha)_{\text{III}}
\]

Fig. 5.5. Von Mises yield surface
Constitutive Relations

Elasto-plastic materials: The flow rule

\[ \varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon^E_{ij} + \varepsilon^P_{ij} \]

\[ \varepsilon^P_{ij} = \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \]

For associated plasticity (metals)

\[ g \equiv f \]
Constitutive Relations

Elasto-plastic materials: The flow rule

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} = \varepsilon^E_{ij} + \varepsilon^P_{ij} \]

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}^P_{ij} = \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \]

*For associated plasticity (metals)*

\[ g \equiv f \]
Constitutive Relations

Elasto-plastic materials: The flow rule

For metals (von Mises + associated plasticity)

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{xx} + \dot{\varepsilon}_{yy} + \dot{\varepsilon}_{zz} = 0 \]

The plastic flow is incompressible
Constitutive Relations

Elasto-plastic materials: Hardening

ISOTROPIC HARDENING

\[ t\sigma_{III} \]

\[ t\sigma_I \]

\[ t\sigma_{II} \]

Plastic loading

\[ t + \Delta t \sigma_y \]

\[ t\sigma_y = t\sigma_y(tW^P) \]
Constitutive Relations

Elasto-plastic materials: Hardening

The isotropic hardening does not model the Bauschinger effect (Cyclic loading / unloading)
Constitutive Relations

Elasto-plastic materials: Hardening

KINEMATIC HARDENING

\[ t \sigma_{III} \]

\[ t + \Delta t \alpha_{ij} \]

\[ t \sigma_y \]

\[ t \sigma_I \]

\[ t \sigma_{II} \]

\[ t \sigma_y \]

\[ t \hat{\alpha}_{ij} = c \ t d_{ij}^P \]
Constitutive Relations

Viscoplasticity

In plasticity:

\[ \sigma_y = \sigma_y(\bar{\varepsilon}, T) \]

We need viscoplasticity to model the experimental fact:

\[ \sigma_y = \sigma_y(\bar{\varepsilon}, \dot{\varepsilon}, T) \]
The principle of virtual work

A 1D problem

\[ f^B \text{ dx} \]

\[ \sigma^A \]

\[ (\sigma + \frac{d\sigma}{dx})A \]

\[ f^B \text{ : load per unit length} \]

\[ R \text{ : concentrated load} \]

\[ u(x) \text{ : unknown} \]

A: transversal area

E: Young's modulus
The principle of virtual work

A 1D problem

\[ u(0) = 0 \]  

Essential (rigid) boundary condition

\[ EA \left[ \frac{du}{dx} \right]_{x=L} = R \]  

Natural boundary condition
The principle of virtual work

A 1D problem

Equilibrium:

\[ A \frac{d\sigma}{dx} + f^B = 0 \]

Constitutive equation:

\[ \sigma = E\varepsilon \]

Kinematic relation:

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{du}{dx} \]
The principle of virtual work

A 1D problem

At every point inside the bar we must fulfill:

\[ AE \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + f^B = 0 \]

\( \delta u(x) \) is an arbitrary function

\( \delta u(0) = 0 \) (condition)

Hence,

\[ \int_0^L \left( AE \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + f^B \right) \delta u \, dx = 0 \]
The principle of virtual work

A 1D problem

Integrating by parts,

\[ \int_0^L A\sigma \delta\varepsilon \, dx = \int_0^L f^B \delta u \, dx + R\delta u \bigg|_{x=L} \]

Virtual work of internal forces = Virtual work of external forces
The principle of virtual work

Please notice that the PVW represents Equilibrium and NOT Energy Conservation
The principle of virtual work

General 3D case

\[
\int_{tV} t\sigma_{ij} \delta \varepsilon_{ij} \, d^tV = \int_{tV} t\mathbf{b}_i \, \delta u_i \, d^tV + \int_{tS_\sigma} t t_i \, \delta u_i \, d^tS + \, tF_i \, \delta u_i
\]

\( b \): Loads per unit volume
\( t \): Loads per unit surface

Please notice that the integral is calculated at the deformed (unknown) configuration
The principle of virtual work

No material restriction (applies to any material)

No kinematics restriction (large or small strains)

No loads restriction (conservative or non-conservative)
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